

On a Second-order Generalisation of Russellian Theory of Definite Descriptions

Yaroslav Petrukhin¹

¹) University of Łódź, Center for Philosophy of Nature
Poland, Łódź, Lindleya 3/5 90–131
yaroslav.petrukhin@gmail.com

Definite descriptions are usually treated as first-order expressions denoting unique objects satisfying certain properties. In this talk, we intend to propose their second-order generalisation that refers to unique relations or unique properties. We investigate this subject within the paradigm of Russell's theory of definite descriptions. Second-order logic is known to be incomplete, but its fragment defined by the means of Henkin's general models is complete [1]. We formulate our theory within this complete fragment and formalize it using a cut-free sequent calculus based on Indrzejczak and Kürbis' [2] one for the first-order version of Russell's theory [4, 5].

We adhere to the exposition of Russell's theory as articulated by Indrzejczak and Zawidzki [3] as well as Indrzejczak and Kürbis [2]. Definite descriptions are defined using the λ -operator as follows:

$$(\lambda x\psi)\iota y\varphi \leftrightarrow \exists x(\forall y(\varphi \leftrightarrow y = x) \wedge \psi)$$

Consider the standard first-order language with identity. It is extended by the following expressions: a predicate abstract $(\lambda x\varphi)$, where φ is a formula; a quasi-term $\iota x\varphi$, where φ is a formula and x is an individual variable; and a formula (lambda atom) φt , where φ is a predicate abstract and t a term or quasi-term. It is a language of the logic **RL** described in [3, 2]. Let us further expand this language. We incorporate relational variables X, Y, Z, X_1, \dots , second order identity $X = Y$ understood as $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (X(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow Y(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, an atomic formula $X(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where t_1, \dots, t_n are terms and X is an n -ary relational variable. Finally, we incorporate the subsequent expressions:

- If φ is a formula and X is a relational variable, then $\forall X\varphi$ and $\exists X\varphi$ are formulas.
- If φ is a formula, then $(\lambda X\varphi)$ is a relational abstract.
- If φ is a formula, then $\iota X\varphi$ is a pseudo-term.
- If $(\lambda X\psi)$ is a relational abstract and $\iota Y\varphi$ is a pseudo-term, then $(\lambda X\psi)\iota Y\varphi$ is a formula.

We now possess the language of the logic **RL²**, the second-order generalization of **RL**.

Consider a standard model (for the first-order logic with identity) $M = \langle D, I \rangle$ with an assignment v from the set of variables to D . *Henkin's general model* is a pair $\mathfrak{M} = \langle M, G \rangle$, where $M = \langle D, I \rangle$ is the above defined model and G is a set of subsets, relations (of any arity) on D . Let an assignment be extended for the case of relational variables. We write v_O^X to denote the X -variant of

v with $v_O^X(X) = O$, where $O \in G$. We define the notion of satisfaction of a formula φ with v in a general model, symbolically $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$, for second-order formulas as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}, v \models X = Y &\text{ iff } v(X) = v(Y), \\ \mathfrak{M}, v \models (\lambda X \psi) \iota Y \varphi &\text{ iff there is an } O \in G \text{ such that } \mathfrak{M}, v_O^X \models \psi, \\ &\quad \mathfrak{M}, v_O^X \models \varphi_X^Y, \text{ and for any } Y\text{-variant } v' \text{ of } v_O^X, \\ &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{M}, v' \models \varphi, \text{ then } v'(Y) = O \\ \mathfrak{M}, v \models \forall X \varphi &\text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}, v_O^X \models \varphi, \text{ for all } O \in G, \\ \mathfrak{M}, v \models \exists X \varphi &\text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}, v_O^X \models \varphi, \text{ for some } O \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if G contains all the relations on D , then we get the standard semantics for second-order logic which is known to be incomplete.

We extend the sequent calculus presented in [2] by the following rules for the second-order formulas, where parameters play the role of the free variables:

$$\begin{aligned} (=2 \Rightarrow) & \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, X_{b_1, \dots, b_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}, Y_{b_1, \dots, b_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n} \quad X_{b_1, \dots, b_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}, Y_{b_1, \dots, b_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{X = Y, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \\ (\Rightarrow =2) & \frac{X_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, Y_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n} \quad Y_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, X_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, X = Y} \\ (\exists^2 \Rightarrow) & \frac{\varphi_A^X, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\exists X \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\Rightarrow \exists^2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_B^X}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists X \varphi} \quad (\iota_1^2 \Rightarrow) \frac{\varphi_A^Y, \psi_A^X, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{(\lambda X \psi) \iota Y \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \\ (\forall^2 \Rightarrow) & \frac{\varphi_B^X, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\forall X \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad (\iota_2^2 \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_B^Y \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_C^Y \quad B = C, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{(\lambda X \psi) \iota Y \varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \\ (\Rightarrow \forall^2) & \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_A^X}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall X \varphi} \quad (\Rightarrow \iota^2) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_B^Y \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi_B^X \quad \varphi_A^Y, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A = B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, (\lambda X \psi) \iota Y \varphi} \end{aligned}$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are fresh individual parameters, not present in Γ and Δ ; b_1, \dots, b_n are arbitrary individual parameters; A is a fresh relational parameter, not present in Γ, Δ and φ ; B and C are arbitrary relational parameters.

Acknowledgments. Funded by the European Union (ERC, ExtenDD, project number: 101054714). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

References

- [1] Henkin, L.: Completeness in the Theory of Types. *J. Symbolic Logic* **15**(2), 81–91 (1950)
- [2] Indrzejczak, A., Kürbis, N.: A Cut-Free, Sound and Complete Russellian Theory of Definite Descriptions. In: Ramanayake, R., Urban, J. (eds.) *Automated Reasoning with Analytic Tableaux and Related Methods. TABLEAUX 2023, LNCS*, vol. 14278, pp. 112–130. Springer, Cham (2023)

- [3] Indrzejczak, A., Zawidzki, M.: When Iota meets Lambda. *Synthese* **201**(2), 1–33 (2023)
- [4] Russell, B., On denoting, *Mind* 14 (1905), 479–493.
- [5] Whitehead, A.N., Russell, B.: *Principia Mathematica*, vol. I. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1910)