

Sequent Calculi for Semiring Semantics

James Carr, j. work Sophie Brinke & Erich Grädel

University of Queensland, RWTH Aachen University

July 31, 2026

Table of Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Propositional Łukasiewicz
- 3 Predicate Lattice Semirings
- 4 Extending Further

Background

- Application of techniques in **substructural logics** to **semiring semantics**.
- Substructural logics: well studied group of non-classical logic; algebraically the logics of **residuated lattices**.
- Semiring semantics: introduced as framework for annotated databases.
- **Substantive literature** on model theory. Relatively little work on proof theory.

Background

- Application of techniques in **substructural logics** to **semiring semantics**.
- Substructural logics: well studied group of non-classical logic; algebraically the logics of **residuated lattices**.
- Semiring semantics: introduced as framework for annotated databases.
- **Substantive literature** on model theory. Relatively little work on proof theory.

Selection of Model Theory Investigations

- Status of Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé and other model checking games [1].
- Versions of (finite) Łos-Tarski preservation theorem [2]
- Recovery of Hanf locality for general semirings and Gaifman locality for min-max semirings [3].

Background

- By contrast, **extensive** literature on proof theory for substructural logics - **sequent calculi**.
- Semirings and residuated lattices are **algebraically related**.
- Broad idea; exploit this relationship to find sequent calculi for semiring semantics.
- **Fairly early stage** of investigation; have **proof systems** for some **well behaved** cases.

Semiring Semantics

Definition

A (commutative naturally ordered) *semiring* is an algebra $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that:

- $(S, +, 0)$ and $(S, \cdot, 1)$ are commutative monoids;
- $\cdot$ distributes over $+$;
- $0 \neq 1$ and $0 \cdot s = s \cdot 0 = 0$;
- The order $s \leq t$ iff $\exists r : s + r = t$ is a partial order.

A semiring is:

- *idempotent* iff $+$ is idempotent;
- *multiplicatively idempotent* iff $\cdot$ is idempotent;
- *absorptive* iff $s + st = s$ for all $s, t \in S$, equivalently iff 1 is the top element for the natural order.

Semiring Semantics

Example

- *Fuzzy semiring* $\mathbb{F} = ([0, 1], \max, \min, 0, 1)$,
- *Viterbi semiring* $\mathbb{V} = ([0, 1], \max, \cdot, 0, 1)$,
- *Łukasiewicz semiring* $\mathbb{L} = ([0, 1], \max, \odot, 0, 1)$,
- *Bag semiring* $\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$,
- *Provenance semirings*: quotients of the semiring of polynomials $\mathbb{N}[X]$, particularly the free absorptive semiring $\mathbb{S}[X]$.

Semiring Semantics

Definition

For a semiring S , a (finite) domain M and a (relational) predicate language τ , a mapping $\pi: Lit(\tau, A) \rightarrow S$ is an *S-interpretation*. We say it is *model-defining* iff for each literal pair $R(\vec{m})_i, \neg R(\vec{m}) \in Lit$ exactly one of $\pi[R(\vec{m})], \pi[\neg R(\vec{m})]$ is 0.

We extend an S-interpretation to an evaluation of formulas φ in *negation normal form* by:

$$\pi(\varphi \vee \psi) := \pi(\varphi) +^S \pi(\psi) \quad \pi(\varphi \wedge \psi) := \pi(\varphi) \cdot^S \pi(\psi).$$

$$\pi(\exists x \varphi(x)) := \sum_{a \in S} \pi(\varphi(a)) \quad \pi(\forall x \varphi(x)) := \prod_{a \in S} \pi(\varphi(a)).$$

Semiring Semantics

Definition

For a semiring S , a (finite) domain M and a (relational) predicate language τ , a mapping $\pi: Lit(\tau, A) \rightarrow S$ is an *S-interpretation*. We say it is *model-defining* iff for each literal pair $R(\vec{m})_i, \neg R(\vec{m}) \in Lit$ exactly one of $\pi[R(\vec{m})], \pi[\neg R(\vec{m})]$ is 0.

We extend an S-interpretation to an evaluation of formulas φ in *negation normal form* by:

$$\pi(\varphi \vee \psi) := \pi(\varphi) +^S \pi(\psi) \quad \pi(\varphi \wedge \psi) := \pi(\varphi) \cdot^S \pi(\psi).$$

$$\pi(\exists x \varphi(x)) := \sum_{a \in S} \pi(\varphi(a)) \quad \pi(\forall x \varphi(x)) := \prod_{a \in S} \pi(\varphi(a)).$$

Given a semiring S we define the propositional/predicate logic of S by:

$$\Gamma \models \Delta \text{ iff for all } S\text{-interpretations } \pi \quad \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) \leq \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \pi(\delta).$$

Relationship with Residuated Lattices

Lemma

- i. *The $\{\vee, \cdot\}$ -reduct of a (commutative) residuated lattice is a semiring.*
- ii. *If S is a semiring whose natural order is a lattice order and join-complete then S has a residuated lattice expansion.*

Example

- Fuzzy semiring $\mathbb{F} \mapsto [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$
- Viterbi semiring $\mathbb{V} \mapsto [0, 1]_{\Pi}$,
- Łukasiewicz semiring $\mathbb{L} \mapsto [0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$,
- Bounded Bag semiring $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\} \mapsto (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}, \rightarrow)$,
- The free absorptive semiring $\mathbb{S}[X] \mapsto \mathbb{S}[X]^{\rightarrow} \in FL_{ew}$. The $(\vee, \cdot)$ -reduct of any $A \in FL_{ew}$ is a absorptive semiring.

Propositional Łukasiewicz

Definition

Let π be a $[0, 1]$ -interpretation. For a finite multiset of formulas Γ we define

$$*\pi(\Gamma) = 1 - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1 - \pi(\gamma)).$$

A hypersequent $H = \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Delta_1 | \dots | \Gamma_n \Rightarrow \Delta_n$ is **valid** iff for all interpretations $\pi \exists 1 \leq i \leq n :$

$$*\pi(\Gamma_i) \leq *\pi(\Delta_i).$$

Definition

Given an absorptive semiring/residuated lattice S and a hypersequent calculus $\mathcal{C}$ we say that:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is **S -sound** iff every derivable hypersequent in $\mathcal{C}$ is S -valid, i.e. $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} H$ implies $\models_S H$.
- $\mathcal{C}$ is **S -complete** iff every S -valid hypersequent is derivable in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. $\models_S H$ implies $\vdash_{\mathcal{C}} H$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{H \mid \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi} \text{ (id)} \quad \frac{}{H \mid \Rightarrow} \text{ (emp)} \quad \frac{}{H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \varphi} (0 \Rightarrow_{\mathbb{L}}) \\
\frac{H \mid}{H \mid G \mid} \text{ (EW)} \quad \frac{H \mid G \mid G \mid}{H \mid G \mid} \text{ (EC)} \quad \frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta} \text{ (W)} \\
\frac{H \mid \Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma} \text{ (Split)} \quad \frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \quad H \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Sigma} \text{ (Mix)} \\
\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\vee \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \vee_1) \\
\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \vee_2) \\
\frac{H \mid \Gamma, \varphi, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \quad H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma, \varphi \cdot \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\cdot \Rightarrow_{\mathbb{L}}) \\
\frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \psi, \Delta \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow 0, \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \cdot \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \cdot_{\mathbb{L}})
\end{array}$$

Propositional Łukasiewicz

Theorem

The calculus $\mathbb{L}\mathcal{C}$ is sound and complete for $\mathbb{L}$.

Propositional Łukasiewicz

Theorem

The calculus $\mathbb{LC}$ is sound and complete for $\mathbb{L}$.

Proof Sketch.

- Each logical rule is **invertible** - reduces problem to showing all valid **literal hypersequents** are derivable.
- Devise new rules for **primitive negation** that **read upwards** aim to eliminate **conflicting literal pairs**.
- Derivability of valid hypersequents **without conflicting literals** is the same as for $\mathbb{L}$.
- Whilst these rules are **not invertible in general**, can determine conditions under which they are.



$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow 0, \Delta \quad H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \neg p, \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow \neg p, \Delta} (+\Rightarrow\neg)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma, \neg p \Rightarrow 0, \Delta \quad H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow p, \Delta}{H.\Gamma, \neg p, \Rightarrow p, \Delta} (\neg\Rightarrow+)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma, p, \neg p \Rightarrow \Delta} (+, \neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow 0, 0, \Delta}{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow p, \neg p, \Delta} (\Rightarrow +, \neg)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi, \neg p \Rightarrow \Sigma \quad H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi, 0 \Rightarrow \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi, \neg p \Rightarrow \Sigma} (+ \mid \neg \Rightarrow)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow 0, \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \neg p, \Sigma \quad H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow p, \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow 0, \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow p, \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \neg p, \Sigma} (\Rightarrow + \mid \neg)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow, \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \neg p, \Sigma \quad H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow 0, \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma, p \Rightarrow \Delta \mid \Pi \Rightarrow \neg p, \Sigma} (+ \mid \Rightarrow \mid \neg)$$

$$\frac{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow 0, \Delta \mid \Pi, \neg p \Rightarrow \Sigma \quad H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow p, \Delta \mid \Pi, 0 \Rightarrow \Sigma}{H \mid \Gamma \Rightarrow p, \Delta \mid \Pi, \neg p \Rightarrow \Sigma} (\neg \mid \Rightarrow \mid +)$$

Propositional Łukasiewicz

Lemma

Each of the following invertibility properties hold:

- *If $\neg p \notin \Gamma$ then $(+\Rightarrow\neg)$ is invertible and if $p \notin \Gamma$ then $(\neg\Rightarrow+)$ is invertible.*
- *If $p, \neg p \notin \Delta$ then $(+, \neg\Rightarrow)$ is invertible and if $p, \neg p \notin \Gamma$ then $(\Rightarrow+, \neg)$ are invertible.*
- *If $\neg p \notin \Gamma \cup \Delta$ and $p \notin \Pi \cup \Sigma$ then $(+|\neg\Rightarrow)$, $(\Rightarrow+|\neg)$, $(+|\Rightarrow|\neg)$ and $(\neg|\Rightarrow|+)$ are all invertible.*

Difficulties of First Order

- Universal quantifier is not interpreted as **infimum** of natural order outside of **lattice semirings**; **size of domain** matters for value of quantified formula.
- **Breaks comparison** with predicate substructural logics; need to **elsewhere** for inspiration of rules for $\forall$.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi(t) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x(\varphi(x)) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\forall \Rightarrow) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi(a), \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x(\varphi(x)), \Delta} (\Rightarrow \forall)$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi(a) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\exists x(\varphi(x)) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\exists \Rightarrow) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi(t), \Delta}{\exists x(\varphi(x)), \Delta} (\Rightarrow \exists)$$

Lattice Semirings

Proof Strategy

- Proceed via a Hilbert system - familiar Henkin-method.
- Ideal is to have an equivalent sequent calculus.
- Successful for calculus with implication
- Reduce form follows from Cut-elimination - hopefully resolves soon.

Lattice Semirings

Proof Strategy

- Proceed via a Hilbert system - familiar Henkin-method.
- Ideal is to have an equivalent sequent calculus.
- Successful for calculus with implication
- Reduce form follows from Cut-elimination - hopefully resolves soon.

Theorem (Standard completeness - Lattice Semirings)

For all sets of τ -sentences $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ the following are equivalent:

- $\Gamma \models_L \Delta$ for all lattice semirings $L \not\cong \mathbb{B}$.
- $\Gamma \models_L \Delta$ for some lattice semiring $L \not\cong \mathbb{B}$.
- $\pi[\Delta] = 1$ for all S_3 -interpretations M with $\pi[\Gamma] = 1$.

Hilbert System

$$(r) \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \quad (t) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda))$$

$$(p) (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \lambda)) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda))$$

$$(u1) 1 \quad (u2) \varphi \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(\wedge 1) (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \quad (\wedge 2) (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$$

$$(\wedge 3) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi \wedge \varphi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \wedge \lambda))$$

$$(\vee 1) \varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi) \quad (\vee 2) \psi \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi)$$

$$(\vee 3) (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda \wedge \psi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow ((\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \lambda)$$

$$(w) (\varphi \rightarrow 1) \wedge (0 \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(dis) (\varphi \wedge (\psi \vee \lambda)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \wedge \psi) \vee (\varphi \wedge \lambda)) \quad (prl) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(\neg 1) (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \vee (\neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \quad (\neg 2) (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \wedge \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(\forall 1) \forall x \varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(t) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi)$$

$$(\forall 2) \forall x (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \forall x \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

$$(\forall 3) \forall x (\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \forall x \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

$$(\exists 1) \varphi(t) \rightarrow \exists x \varphi(x) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi)$$

$$(\exists 2) \forall x (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\exists x \varphi \rightarrow \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \psi)$$

Hilbert System

$$(r) \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \quad (t) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda))$$

$$(p) (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \lambda)) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda))$$

$$(u1) 1 \quad (u2) \varphi \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(\wedge 1) (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \quad (\wedge 2) (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$$

$$(\wedge 3) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi \wedge \varphi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \wedge \lambda))$$

$$(\vee 1) \varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi) \quad (\vee 2) \psi \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi)$$

$$(\vee 3) (\varphi \rightarrow \lambda \wedge \psi \rightarrow \lambda) \rightarrow ((\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \lambda)$$

$$(w) (\varphi \rightarrow 1) \wedge (0 \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(dis) (\varphi \wedge (\psi \vee \lambda)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \wedge \psi) \vee (\varphi \wedge \lambda)) \quad (prl) (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(\neg 1) (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \vee (\neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \quad (\neg 2) (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \wedge \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(\forall 1) \forall x \varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(t) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi)$$

$$(\forall 2) \forall x (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \forall x \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

$$(\forall 3) \forall x (\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \forall x \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

$$(\exists 1) \varphi(t) \rightarrow \exists x \varphi(x) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi)$$

$$(\exists 2) \forall x (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\exists x \varphi \rightarrow \psi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \psi)$$

Hilbert System

Definition

A τ -theory Γ is:

- **model-defining** iff for all τ -atomic sentences $R(\bar{t})$ exactly one of $\Gamma \vdash R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0$ or $\Gamma \vdash \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0$ holds.
- **Henkin** if for each τ -formula $\varphi(x)$ with one free variable x whenever $\Gamma \not\vdash \forall x \varphi(x)$ then $\Gamma \not\vdash \varphi(c)$ for some τ -constant c .

Hilbert System

Definition

A τ -theory Γ is:

- **model-defining** iff for all τ -atomic sentences $R(\bar{t})$ exactly one of $\Gamma \vdash R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0$ or $\Gamma \vdash \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0$ holds.
- **Henkin** if for each τ -formula $\varphi(x)$ with one free variable x whenever $\Gamma \not\vdash \forall x \varphi(x)$ then $\Gamma \not\vdash \varphi(c)$ for some τ -constant c .

Lemma

Let Γ be τ -theory an theory and ψ a sentence. if $\Gamma \not\vdash \psi$ then $\Gamma' \not\vdash \psi$ for some τ' -theory Γ' which is model-defining and Henkin with $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ and $\tau \subseteq \tau'$.

Hilbert System

Proof Sketch.

List all atomic τ' -sentences α_i and all τ' -formulas with one free-variable β_i .
If $n = 2i$ we define

$$\psi_{n+1} = \psi_n \text{ and } \Gamma_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Gamma_n \cup \{\alpha_i \rightarrow 0\} & \text{if } \Gamma_n \cup \{\alpha_i \rightarrow 0\} \not\vdash \psi_n \\ \Gamma_n \cup \{\neg\alpha_i \rightarrow 0\} & \text{if } \Gamma_n \cup \{\neg\alpha_i \rightarrow 0\} \not\vdash \psi_n. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 2i + 1$ we let c_i be a fresh τ' -constant not occurring in Γ_n, ψ_n or β_i and define:

$$\psi_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \psi_n \vee \beta_i(c_i) & \text{if } \Gamma_n \not\vdash \psi_n \vee \beta_i(c_i) \\ \psi_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$\Gamma_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Gamma_n & \text{if } \Gamma_n \not\vdash \psi_n \vee \beta_i(c_i) \\ \Gamma_n \cup \{\psi_n \rightarrow \forall x \beta_i(x)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Hilbert System

Definition

Let Γ be a Henkin, model-defining τ -theory and π_Γ be the interpretation into LT_Γ whose domain is the set of variable-free τ -terms (i.e. constant symbols) and $\pi(R(\bar{c})) = [R(\bar{c})]$.

Lemma

Let Γ be a Henkin, model-defining τ -theory. If $\varphi(x)$ is a τ -formula with one-free variable x :

- a) $[\forall x \varphi(x)] = \inf \{ [\varphi(c)] : c \text{ is a } \tau\text{-constant} \}.$
- b) $[\exists x \varphi(x)] = \sup \{ [\varphi(c)] : c \text{ is a } \tau\text{-constant} \}.$

If δ is a τ -sentence then:

- c) $\pi_\Gamma(\delta) = [\delta]_\Gamma.$
- d) $\Gamma \vdash \delta$ iff $[1]_\Gamma \leq [\delta]_\Gamma.$

Finally:

- e) π_Γ is a model-defining LT_Γ -interpretation.

Sequent Calculus

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta} \text{ (id)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, R(\bar{t}), \neg R(\bar{t}) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, 0 \Rightarrow \Delta} (0 \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta \quad \varphi, \Pi \Rightarrow \Sigma}{\Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Sigma} \text{ (Cut)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\vee \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \vee_1) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \vee_2) \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\wedge_1 \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \wedge \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\wedge_2 \Rightarrow) \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \wedge \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \wedge) \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \varphi(t) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x(\varphi(x)) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\forall \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi(a), \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x(\varphi(x)), \Delta} (\Rightarrow \forall) \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \varphi(a) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\exists x(\varphi(x)) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\exists \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi(t), \Delta}{\exists x(\varphi(x)), \Delta} (\Rightarrow \exists)
 \end{array}$$

Sequent Calculus

Additional Rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi, \Delta \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Delta} (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \Delta} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \Delta} (\neg \rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \Delta}{\Gamma, R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \Delta} (+ \rightarrow)$$

Sequent Calculus

Lemma

The Hilbert system and sequent calculus are equivalent.

Proof Sketch.

$$\frac{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0}{\Rightarrow R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t} \rightarrow 0)} (\neg \rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{\Rightarrow R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t} \rightarrow 0)}{\Rightarrow (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \vee \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0)} (C), (\vee \Rightarrow)$$

$$\frac{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, 0}{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0} (+ \rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0, \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0}{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \wedge \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0} (C), (\wedge \Rightarrow)$$
$$\frac{R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \wedge \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0}{\Rightarrow (R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0 \wedge \neg R(\bar{t}) \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0} (\Rightarrow \rightarrow)$$



Extending Further

Many further questions - broadly two main camps:

Extending to further semirings

- Systems for predicate logics of other absorptive semirings
- Any possibility for non-absorptive semirings.

Making use of what we have

- Investigating usual consequences and further properties of the established proof systems.
- Comparing proof systems; relationship between algebraic property of semirings and resulting proof systems

Thanks

Thank you all for listening.

Additional thanks goes to Guillermo Badia and Carles Noguera my PhD supervisors.

References



S. Brinke and E. Grädel and L. Mrkkonjic Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé Games in Semiring Semantics *32nd EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic (CSL 2024)*.



S. Brinke and A. Dawar and E. Grädel and B. Pago Preservation Theorems in Semiring Semantics *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP 2026)*.



C. Biziére and E. Grädel and M. Naaf Locality Theorems in Semiring Semantics *48th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations in Computer Science (MFCS 2023)*.



G. Metcalfe and N. Olivetti and D. Gabbay *Proof Theory for Fuzzy Logics*. Springer Dordrecht. 2008.